

No. ID/FD/95-82/32864.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Niranjn Singh and the management of M/s Partap Steel Rolling Mills, (P) Ltd. 21/3, Mathura Road, Ballabgarh, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter to dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Niranjn Singh was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/AMB/180-82/32871.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Faqir Chand and the management of M/s Churani Jattan, Cooperative Credit and Service Society Ltd. Churani Jattan, tehsil Thanesar, District Kurukshetra, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—*vide* Government notification No.11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 5414-3 Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968, under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Faqir Chand was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

The 15th July, 1983

No. ID/SPT/154-83/33113.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that in Industrial disputes exists between the workman Shri Suresh Parshad and the management of M/s Kumar Card Board Industries Rasoi, District Sonapat regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

Now, therefore in excise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947,—*vide* Government notification No. 3864. ASO (E) Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in disputes or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Shri Suresh Parshad was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/GGN/77-83/33134.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute existe between the workman Shri Hari Singh and the management of M/s H. P. Industries, Delhi Road, Rewari, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968, under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Hari Singh was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

V. S. CHAUDHRI,

Deputy Secretary to Government Haryana,
Labour Department.